

Setting capitalisation rate right:

Discussions and decisions of Norwegian Expropriation Courts

Håvard Steinsholt, Associate Professor, Norwegian University of Life Sciences



$$K = i/p$$

1992: Cap rate (p) reflecting outcome of the source or <u>reinvestment</u>?

Where to reinvest?

1986: Individualized or <u>objective</u> perspective of reinvestment?



$$K = i/p$$

1981/92:

Inflation component (nominal or real rent perspective)

- the long term rate! (?)



$$K = i / p = 20$$

1993; Personal injury compensation (5%)

1994: "No reason that p should be lower in expropriation cases.

2008: Compulsory realization of dwellings on rented land (5%)

2008:

"only exceptional conditions could legitimate a lower cap rate than the standard level of 5%"



$$K = i/p$$

2008:

Clash of professionals' models

- Foresters' models vs law principles.

(Unclear situation:

5 % - but hardly any models fit)

So far not discussed:

- Risk
- Capital flexibility
- Administration
- Tax
- Reflecting business in the years to come or future business as "capital" today
- "Value of use" in "non-profit" economies.



$$K = i/p$$

The Norwegian cap rate story:

Getting from 5% to 5% in a very expensive way