Legal Aspects of Land Administration in Post Conflict Areas

Jaap Zevenbergen & Paul van der Molen

- Z Dr. Jaap Zevenbergen, associate prof. Delft University of Technology
- Z Prof. Paul van der Molen, visiting prof. ITC, director Kadaster International, chairman Commission 7 FIG
- z both contributed to the 'land management evaluation tool' of UN-HABITAT, which the paper is partly based on

Land and Conflict

- **z** land issues often part/core of conflict
- z different groups experience difference in:
 - y access to land delivery (gov./common land)
 - y their position on the land market
 - y formality/legality of land related activities

Land Records

- z often no full picture from before conflict, due to informal transactions
 - y illegality of transaction *
 - y illegality of subdivision
 - y intestate vs. religious/customary inheritance
 - y avoiding taxes (transfer & inheritance)
 - y avoiding expensive/time-consuming process
- not only in post conflict areas!

* Land 'rights'

- z discrimination of members certain groups
 - y by discriminatory legislation
 - y by discriminatory implementation of laws
- z contravene human rights conventions
 - y housing rights
 - y security of tenure
 - y infringement rights of weaker groups (often women, minorities, members 'wrong' group)

Conflict and land

- z usually position of groups changes over time, esp. around conflict period
- z groups might 'deal' with land records based on their shifting position
- z land records may be
 - y taken away, removed
 - y destroyed
 - y altered, manipulated

Land records

z limited reliability

- informal transactions
- y incomplete, outdated, potentially altered
- y inhomogeneous quality

z land disputes

- y land grabbing, duress sales, self justice
- y conflicting 'reasonable' claims
- y movement of persons

Legal framework

- z understand pre-existing legislation and practice (law in action):
 - y written, customary, informal, parallel, ...
- z check with international conventions
 - y repeal discriminatory laws/regulations
 - y might need to apply 'generic' intern. law
- z avoid legal transplants (unlikely to fit)

Legal ambiguity

- z legal framework not fully coherent
- no clear-cut application before and now
- z drafting and implementing new (fitting) legislation will take time and effort
- zurgent need for dispute resolution
- z apply alternative hierarchies of evidence
 - y do not only or fully rely on land records
 - y also on other papers, bills, witness reports, ...

- z set goal(s) it needs to fulfill (for now)
 - y improve land tenure security
 - y regulation of the land markets
 - y implement urban/rural planning
 - y provision of a base for land taxation
 - y management of natural resources
- z be realistic, take phased approach

- when land market needs to be supported
 - y pass (large part of) costs on to parties
 - y realize that title guarantee demands thorough adjudication, investigation on transfers (and accurate boundary survey)
 - y realize that systematic process will freeze land market for an extensive period

- Z due process is needed in adjudication and processing transactions
 - make sure claims are settled
 - y remember about legal ambiguity and need for alternative hierarchies
 - y protect those who are absent from the area, f.i. by public notice and waiting period
 - y take a sporadic approach (case by case)

- z traditional title registration seems not appropriate
- z parties on land market have access to alternative knowledge; can weigh their risks without government involvement:
 - y stick to deeds registration
 - y or register qualified or provisional titles
 - y but freeze prescription rules for a while

Conclusions

- Z land administration challenges are 'normal' plus 'post conflict'
- z select the goal(s) you go for
- z take phased approach
- z solve disputed, by non-discriminatory local rules, conventions and generic int. law
- z design tailored new laws (with lessons)

Conclusions

- z realize potential problems of land records
- z realize legal ambiguity
- z apply alternative hierarchies of evidence
- z introduce due process, but avoid systematic adjudication and postpone guaranteed titles
- z don't stifle the emerging land market

Conclusions

z "a thousand transaction with some risk outweigh five secure transactions"